

# OUTCOME DETAILS

**Supreme Court - Civil  
at Supreme Court Sydney  
on 10 June 2021**

**2018/00371447-001 / Summons: Richmond Valley Council v JLT Risk Solutions Pty Ltd**

The Court orders that:

#

1. Subject to further or other order, the form of questions appearing at Annexure A to these orders are approved as common questions of law and/or fact.

2. Such further or other order as the Court sees fit.

## ANNEXURE A

### COMMON QUESTIONS

Common questions reflecting decisions: [2021] NSWSC 383 and [2021] NSWSC 658

1. During the Relevant Period, did JLT hold itself out in the Renewal Reports that it issued to Richmond and group members as:

(a) being one of the largest insurance brokers in Australia and the world;

(b) possessed of the expertise to deliver the most comprehensive range of insurance and risk solution products and services available to local government authorities across Australia; and/or

(c) capable of ensuring that local councils receive a well-designed insurance programme at a competitive premium cost?

2. Did JLT hold itself out in the Renewal Reports and Financial Services Guides that it issued to Richmond and each group member as being a subscriber to the Code of Practice of the National Insurance Brokers Association at all material times during the Relevant Period?

3. During the Relevant Period, did JLT's conduct by:

(a) issuing insurance declarations, renewal reports, summaries of insurances, financial services guides and invoices for Property and/or Public Liability and Professional Indemnity insurance to Richmond and each group member; and

(b) accepting authorisation forms and payment of those invoices from Richmond and each Group Member;

give rise in each case to a contract between JLT on the one hand, and the relevant local council on the other, an implied term of which was that JLT would exercise reasonable care and skill in:

(c) designing and providing advice with respect to its annual insurance programme and placing or arranging its property and/or public liability and professional indemnity insurance through Statewide; and

(d) advising or recommending that it obtain or renew their property and/or public liability and professional indemnity insurance through Statewide?

4. During the Relevant Period, did JLT by reason of the course of conduct in doing one or more or all of:

(a) issuing insurance declarations, renewal reports, summaries of insurances, financial services guides and invoices for Property and/or Public Liability and Professional Indemnity insurance to Richmond and each group member;

(b) accepting authorisation forms and payment of those invoices from Richmond and each group member;

(c) providing such assistance as it did, to Richmond and each group member to obtain protection for their property and/or public liability and professional indemnity exposure,

owe Richmond and each group member a fiduciary duty:

(d) to refrain from pursuing or advancing its own interests in circumstances where there existed a conflict, or significant possibility of conflict, between its own interests and those of Richmond or each group member;

(e) to refrain from using its position or knowledge resulting from its position as an insurance broker so as to obtain a benefit for itself or a third party or to cause detriment to Richmond or a group member (as the case may be)?

#

5. Did a conflict exist between JLT's own financial interests in earning and continuing to earn fees and commissions, for or in connection with, services it provided to Statewide Mutual and the interests of Richmond and each group member in obtaining suitable property cover and/or liability and professional indemnity cover at the best premium rates that were reasonably available to each of them in the market?

6. In doing one or more or all of:

(a) issuing insurance declarations, renewal reports, summaries of insurances, financial services guides and invoices for property and/or public liability and professional indemnity cover to Richmond and each group member;

(b) accepting authorisation forms and payment of those invoices from Richmond and each group member;

(c) providing such assistance as it did, to Richmond and each group member to obtain protection for their property and/or public liability and professional indemnity exposure,

#

did JLT breach any fiduciary duties by:

(d) pursuing or advancing its own interests in earning or continuing to earn fees or commissions; or

(e) using its position or knowledge resulting from its position for its own financial benefit and to the detriment of Richmond and each group member?

Justice D Hammerschlag

Signed

Date